

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 BONN 09070 01 OF 02 051726Z

44

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 H-02 INR-07

IO-10 L-03 NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-03 PRS-01

SAJ-01 SAM-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 TRSE-00 NSC-05

BIB-01 /089 W

----- 062182

R 051711Z JUN 75

FM AMEMBASSY BONN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 0556

INFO SECDEF WASHDC

AMEMBASSY LONDON

USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

USDEL MBFR VIENNA

USNMR SHAPE

CINC EUR VAIHINGEN

S E C R E T SECTION 01 OF 02 BONN 09070

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, NATO, GW

SUBJECT: MBFR: FRG VIEWS ON VERIFICATION

REF: STATE 129766

BEGIN SUMMARY: EMBOFF MET WITH FONOFF VERIFICATION
DIRECTOR HAUBER AND HIS DEPUTY GRUENDEL TO DELIVER THE
ANSWERS TO GRUENDEL'S QUESTIONS TRANSMITTED IN REFTEL.
THE FRG WAS WELL SATISFIED WITH THESE REPLIES; BUT IT
WISHED TO LEAVE NO DOUBT ABOUT ITS INSISTENCE THAT THE
MOST FREQUENTLY USED ENTRY/EXIT POINTS MUST INCLUDE
SOME NOT LOCATED IN THE FRG. ON THE OTHER HAND, THE FRG
CONSIDERS THAT IT IS "DEFINITELY PREMATURE" TO MAKE A
MORE SPECIFIC VERIFICATION PRESENTATION TO THE EAST IN
VIENNA DURING THE PRESENT SESSION. IT ALSO FEELS IT IS
HIGHLY DESIRABLE FOR FURTHER BILATERAL DISCUSSIONS TO
TAKE PLACE BETWEEN THE U.S. AND THE FRG BEFORE THE

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 BONN 09070 01 OF 02 051726Z

MATTER IS EVEN RAISED IN NATO. END SUMMARY

1. HAUBER DECLARED HIS OWN INTEREST IN THE IDEA OF PERMITTING EACH SIDE TO DECLARE ITS OWN ENTRY/EXIT POINTS. WHILE THE EAST MIGHT OBJECT TO ANY DISPARITY WHICH RESULTED FROM THIS CONCEPT, THE WEST COULD POINT OUT THAT THE DISPARITY AROSE BECAUSE OF THE DIFFERENCES IN SIZE BETWEEN OUR RESPECTIVE NGA TERRITORIES. IF THE EAST AGREED TO THIS DISPARITY BECAUSE THEY WANTED GREATER FLEXIBILITY FOR TROOP MOVEMENTS, SO MUCH THE BETTER. HAUBER WENT ON TO STATE HE WANTED TO AVOID ANY MISUNDERSTANDING CONCERNING THE FRG POSITION ON WESTERN ENTRY/EXIT POINTS: WHILE THE FRG WAS AS YET UNCERTAIN HOW MANY SUCH POINTS THE WEST WOULD NEED, IT WAS "CRUCIAL" THAT THE POINTS OF ENTRY AND EXIT MOST FREQUENTLY USED BY U.S. TROOPS AND EQUIPMENT SHOULD INCLUDE NON-FRG PORTS/AIRFIELDS RATHER THAN HAVING THE NON-FRG PORTS/AIRFIELDS BE LIMITED TO THOSE "POSSIBLE ADDITIONAL POINTS WHICH INDIVIDUALS AND SMALLER GROUPS MIGHT BE ALLOWED TO USE WITHOUT REQUIRING SPECIAL PERMISSION."

2. HAUBER SAID HE HAD ALWAYS HAD DOUBTS ABOUT CHALLENGE INSPECTION. HE FOUND THE REASONING CONTAINED IN PARA 3 REFTTEL PERSUASIVE AND AGREED WITH THE "ANALOGOUS CONCEPT OF A QUOTA OF AUTOMATIC INSPECTION" PRESENTED IN PARA 4 REFTTEL. HAUBER ADDED THAT ALL OF THIS IS DISCUSSED IN THE FRG'S VERIFICATION STUDY.

3. HAD WE NOT DONE SO FIRST, HAUBER SAID HE HIMSELF HAD INTENDED TO BRING UP THE QUESTION OF WHETHER OR NOT THE WEST SHOULD MAKE A MORE SPECIFIC VERIFICATION PRESENTATION TO THE EAST IN VIENNA DURING THE PRESENT SESSION. THE FRG HAD ALREADY RECEIVED INDICATIONS FROM ITS VIENNA DELEGATION THAT THIS WAS THE U.S. DESIRE. HAUBER SAID THAT THE FRG IS STRONGLY OPPOSED TO DOING

SECRET

NNN

SECRET

PAGE 01 BONN 09070 02 OF 02 051721Z

44

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 H-02 INR-07

IO-10 L-03 NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-03 PRS-01

SAJ-01 SAM-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 TRSE-00 NSC-05

BIB-01 /089 W

----- 062117

R 051711Z JUN 75

FM AMEMBASSY BONN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 0557

INFO SECDEF WASHDC

AMEMBASSY LONDON

USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

USDEL MBFR VIENNA

USNMR SHAPE

CINC EUR VAIHINGEN

S E C R E T SECTION 02 OF 02 BONN 09070

ANYTHING MORE ON VERIFICATION DURING THIS SESSION. THE WEST HAS ALREADY GIVEN OUR GENERAL IDEAS ON VERIFICATION ON TWO SEPARATE OCCASIONS; SOVIET RESPONSE HAS BEEN "ZERO." IF THE U.S. TRIES TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THIS IDEA, HAUBER SAID THE FRG WOULD HAVE TO OPPOSE US.

4. THE FRG IS LESS ADAMANTLY OPPOSED TO TREATING THE SUBJECT OF VERIFICATION IN NATO AT THIS TIME. HAUBER NOTED THAT THE FRG COULD NOT STOP THE U.S. FROM RAISING THE SUBJECT THERE EVEN IF IT WISHED TO DO SO. NEVERTHELESS, THE FRG THINKS IT FAR PREFERABLE TO PROCEED FIRST WITH BILATERAL US/FRG DISCUSSIONS ON VERIFICATION. THE FRG EXPECTS TO PRESENT ITS VERIFICATION STUDY TO THE U.S. DURING THE FIRST HALF OF JULY. THIS STUDY DOES NOT ONLY DISCUSS ENTRY/EXIT POINTS, BUT VERIFICATION IN ITS LARGER ASPECTS. BEFORE TRYING TO GET OTHER
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 BONN 09070 02 OF 02 051721Z

NATO COUNTRIES TO AGREE WITH A VERIFICATION POSITION TO PRESENT TO THE EAST, IT WOULD BE FAR BETTER FIRST TO "REFINE" THE FRG CONCEPT. THIS SHOULD BE DONE FIRST IN BILATERAL FRG DISCUSSIONS WITH THE U.S. AND THEN WITH THE UK. AFTER THIS HAS BEEN DONE, IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO DISCUSS THE MATTER IN NATO.
HILLENBRAND

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: NEGOTIATIONS, MILITARY CAPABILITIES, FORCE & TROOP LEVELS, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 05 JUN 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975BONN09070
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750196-1200
From: BONN
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750675/aaaacqmf.tel
Line Count: 165
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION ACDA
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 75 STATE 129766
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 02 APR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <02 APR 2003 by ElyME>; APPROVED <07 APR 2003 by GolinoFR>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MBFR: FRG VIEWS ON VERIFICATION
TAGS: PARM, GE, US, NATO
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006